arXiv:1403.4027v1 [math.CO] 17 Mar 2014

The Terwilliger polynomial of a Q-polynomial distance-regular graph and its application to the pseudo-partition graphs

Alexander L. Gavrilyuk
Research Center for Pure and Applied Mathematics, Graduate School of Information Sciences,
Tohoku University, Sendai 980-8579, Japan
and
N.N. Krasovsky Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics UB RAS,
Kovalevskaya str., 16, Ekaterinburg 620990, Russia
e-mail: alexander.gavriliouk@gmail.com

Jack H. Koolen
School of Mathematical Sciences
University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, 230026, Anhui, PR China
e-mail: koolen@ustc.edu.cn

March 18, 2014

Abstract

Let Γ be a Q-polynomial distance-regular graph with diameter at least 3. Terwilliger (1993) implicitly showed that there exists a polynomial, say $T(\lambda) \in \mathbb{C}[\lambda]$, of degree 4 depending only on the intersection numbers of Γ and such that $T(\eta) \geq 0$ holds for any non-principal eigenvalue η of the local graph $\Gamma(x)$ for any vertex $x \in V(\Gamma)$.

We call $T(\lambda)$ the Terwilliger polynomial of Γ. In this paper, we give an explicit formula for $T(\lambda)$ in terms of the intersection numbers of Γ and its dual eigenvalues. We then apply this polynomial to show that all pseudo-partition graphs with diameter at least 3 are known.

1 Introduction

Let Γ denote a distance-regular graph with diameter $D \geq 3$. (For definitions and notations see Section 2.) Pick any 3-tuple xyz of vertices of Γ such that y and z are neighbours of x. Let $[i, j, k]$ denote the number of vertices u of Γ such that u is at distances i from x, j from y, and k from z, respectively. In general, $[i, j, k]$ depends on the choice of x, y and z as well as i, j, k.

Assuming that Γ has a Q-polynomial structure, Terwilliger showed in [16] that if x, y, z are mutually adjacent then

$$[i, i-1, i-1] = \kappa_i[2, 1, 1] + \tau_i$$

holds, where κ_i, τ_i are real scalars that do not depend on x, y, z.

Inspired by his proof, we show in Section 3 under the same assumption on Γ that

$$[i, i+1, i+1] = \sigma_i[1, 2, 2] + \rho_{i,\delta}, \tag{1}$$

where δ is the distance between y and z, $y \neq z$, i.e. $\delta \in \{1, 2\}$, and $\sigma_i, \rho_{i,\delta}$ are real scalars that do not depend on x, y, and z. In fact, σ_i and $\rho_{i,\delta}$ are rational expressions in the dual eigenvalues and intersection numbers of Γ.

Terwilliger (see 'Lecture note on Terwilliger algebra' edited by Suzuki, [13]) showed that, for $i = 2, 3, \ldots, D-1$, there exists a polynomial $T_i(\lambda) \in \mathbb{C}[\lambda]$ of degree 4 such that for any i, any vertex $x \in V(\Gamma)$, and any non-principal eigenvalue η of the local graph $\Gamma(x)$ one has $T_i(\eta) \geq 0$.

In [13] it was claimed that $T_i(\lambda)$ does not depend on i up to a scalar multiple. We have no proof for this, but see Remarks 4.2 and 4.3. We call $T_i(\lambda)$ the Terwilliger polynomial.

In Section 4 we will give a proof of the existence of $T_i(\lambda)$ and calculate the polynomial $T_2(\lambda)$ explicitly for any Q-polynomial distance-regiular graph.

Much attention has been paid to a project of classification of all Q-polynomial distance-regular graphs with large diameter. Bannai and Ito's interpretation of the Leonard theorem says that the intersection numbers of a Q-polynomial distance-regular graph has one of seven types: 1, $1A$, 2, $2A$, $2B$, $2C$, and 3, see [1, Theorem 5.1] or [15].

In the survey paper [6] by Van Dam, Koolen and Tanaka the current status of the classification of the Q-polynomial distance-regular graphs is reported.

In Section 5 we will focus on the Q-polynomial distance-regular graphs of type 2. Using the Terwilliger polynomial and under some additional restrictions on parameters (which cover all the known examples), we classify the Q-polynomial distance-regular graphs of type 2, including some of the pseudo-partition graphs. Thus we settle the uniqueness problem for all open cases of pseudo-partition graphs with diameter at least 3.

In a forthcoming paper, we will apply the Terwilliger polynomial to show the uniqueness of the Grassmann graphs $J_2(2D, D)$ with odd diameter D and the bilinear forms graphs $H_2(D, D)$ for all $D \geq 3$.

2 Definitions and preliminaries

All the graphs considered in this paper are finite, undirected and simple. Suppose that Γ is a connected graph with vertex set $V(\Gamma)$ and edge set $E(\Gamma)$, where $E(\Gamma)$ consists of unordered pairs of

adjacent vertices. The distance $d(x, y)$ between any two vertices x, y of Γ is the length of a shortest path connecting x and y in Γ.

For a subset X of the vertex set of Γ, we will also write X for the subgraph of Γ induced by X. For a vertex $x \in V(\Gamma)$, define $\Gamma_i(x)$ to be the set of vertices which are at distance precisely i from x $(0 \le i \le D)$, where $D := \max\{d(x, y) \mid x, y \in V(\Gamma)\}$ is the *diameter* of Γ. In addition, define $\Gamma_{-1}(x) = \Gamma_{D+1}(x) = \emptyset$. The subgraph induced by $\Gamma_1(x)$ is called the *neighborhood* or the *local graph* of a vertex x. We write $\Gamma(x)$ instead of $\Gamma_1(x)$ for short, and we denote $x \sim_\Gamma y$ or simply $x \sim y$ if two vertices x and y are adjacent in Γ. For a graph G, a graph Γ is called *locally* G if any local graph of Γ is isomorphic to G.

The *eigenvalues* of a graph are the eigenvalues of its adjacency matrix. If, for some eigenvalue η of Γ, its eigenspace contains a vector orthogonal to the all ones vector, we say the eigenvalue η is *non-principal*. If Γ is regular with valency k then all its eigenvalues are non-principal unless the graph is connected and then the only eigenvalue that is principal is its valency k.

A connected graph Γ with diameter D is called *distance-regular* if there exist integers b_{i-1}, c_i $(1 \le i \le D)$ such that, for any two vertices $x, y \in V(\Gamma)$ with $d(x, y) = i$, there are precisely c_i neighbors of y in $\Gamma_{i-1}(x)$ and b_i neighbors of y in $\Gamma_{i+1}(x)$. In particular, any distance-regular graph is regular with valency $k := b_0$. We define $a_i := k - b_i - c_i$ for notational convenience and note that $a_i = |\Gamma(y) \cap \Gamma_i(x)|$ holds for any two vertices x, y with $d(x, y) = i$ $(1 \le i \le D)$. The array $\{b_0, b_1, \ldots, b_{D-1}; c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_D\}$ is called the *intersection array* of the distance-regular graph Γ.

A distance-regular graph with diameter 2 is called a *strongly regular* graph. We say that a strongly regular graph Γ has parameters (v, k, λ, μ), if $v = |V(\Gamma)|$, k is its valency, $\lambda := a_1$, and $\mu := c_2$.

If a graph Γ is distance-regular then, for all integers h, i, j $(0 \le h, i, j \le D)$, and all vertices $x, y \in V(\Gamma)$ with $d(x, y) = h$, the number

$$p_{ij}^h := |\{z \in V(\Gamma) \mid d(x, z) = i,\ d(y, z) = j\}|$$

does not depend on the choice of x, y. The numbers p_{ij}^h are called the *intersection numbers* of Γ. Note that $c_i = p_{1i-1}^i$, $a_i = p_{1i}^i$, and $b_i = p_{1i+1}^i$.

For each integer i $(0 \le i \le D)$, the ith *distance matrix* A_i of Γ has rows and columns indexed by the vertex of Γ, and, for any $x, y \in V(\Gamma)$,

$$(A_i)_{x,y} = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ if } d(x, y) = i, \\ 0 \text{ if } d(x, y) \ne i. \end{cases}$$

Then $A := A_1$ is just the *adjacency matrix* of Γ, $A_0 = I$, $A_i^\top = A_i$ $(0 \le i \le D)$, and

$$A_i A_j = \sum_{h=0}^{D} p_{ij}^h A_h \quad (0 \le i, j \le D),$$

in particular,

$$A_1 A_i = b_{i-1} A_{i-1} + a_i A_i + c_{i+1} A_{i+1} \quad (1 \le i \le D - 1),$$

$$A_1 A_D = b_{D-1} A_{D-1} + a_D A_D,$$

and this implies that $A_i = p_i(A_1)$ for certain polynomial p_i of degree i.

The *Bose-Mesner* algebra $\mathcal{M}$ of Γ is a matrix algebra generated by A_1 over $\mathbb{C}$. It follows that $\mathcal{M}$ has dimension $D+1$, and it is spanned by the set of matrices $A_0 = I, A_1, \ldots, A_D$, which form a basis of $\mathcal{M}$.

Since the algebra $\mathcal{M}$ is semi-simple and commutative, $\mathcal{M}$ also has a basis of pairwise orthogonal idempotents $E_0 := \frac{1}{|V(\Gamma)|} J, E_1, \ldots, E_D$ (the so-called *primitive idempotents* of $\mathcal{M}$):

$$E_i E_j = \delta_{ij} E_i \quad (0 \le i, j \le D), \quad E_i = E_i^\top \quad (0 \le i, j \le D),$$

$$E_0 + E_1 + \ldots + E_D = I,$$

where J is the all ones matrix.

In fact, E_j $(0 \le j \le D)$ is the matrix representing orthogonal projection onto the eigenspace of A_1 corresponding to some eigenvalue of Γ. In other words, one can write

$$A_1 = \sum_{j=0}^{D} \theta_j E_j,$$

where θ_j $(0 \le j \le D)$ are the real and pairwise distinct scalars, known as the *eigenvalues* of Γ. We say that the eigenvalues are in *natural* order if $b_0 = \theta_0 > \theta_1 > \ldots > \theta_D$. We denote $\hat{\theta}_i = -1 - \frac{b_1}{\theta_i + 1}$ for $i \in \{1, D\}$.

The Bose-Mesner algebra $\mathcal{M}$ is also closed under entrywise (Hadamard or Schur) matrix multiplication, denoted by $\circ$. Then the matrices A_0, A_1, …, A_D are the primitive idempotents of $\mathcal{M}$ with respect to $\circ$, i.e., $A_i \circ A_j = \delta_{ij} A_i$, and $\sum_{i=0}^{D} A_i = J$. This implies that

$$E_i \circ E_j = \sum_{h=0}^{D} q_{ij}^h E_h \quad (0 \le i, j \le D)$$

holds for some real numbers q_{ij}^h, known as the *Krein parameters* of Γ.

Let Γ be a distance-regular graph, and E be a primitive idempotent of its Bose-Mesner algebra. The graph Γ is called *Q-polynomial* (with respect to E) if there exist real numbers c_i^*, a_i^*, b_{i-1}^* $(1 \le i \le D)$ and an ordering of primitive idempotents such that $E_0 = \frac{1}{|V(\Gamma)|} J$ and $E_1 = E$, and

$$E_1 \circ E_i = b_{i-1}^* E_{i-1} + a_i^* E_i + c_{i+1}^* E_{i+1} \quad (1 \le i \le D-1),$$

$$E_1 \circ E_D = b_{D-1}^* E_{D-1} + a_D^* E_D.$$

Note that a Q-polynomial ordering of the eigenvalues/idempotents does not have to be the natural ordering.

Further, the *dual eigenvalues* of Γ *associated with* E are the real scalars θ_i^* $(0 \le i \le D)$ defined by

$$E = \frac{1}{|V(\Gamma)|} \sum_{i=0}^{D} \theta_i^* A_i.$$

Let $\mathbb{V} = \mathbb{R}^{V(\Gamma)}$ denote a vector space of columns, whose coordinates are indexed by the set $V(\Gamma)$. For each vertex $x \in V(\Gamma)$, define $\hat{x} \in \mathbb{V}$ by

$$\hat{x} = (0, 0, \ldots, 0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)^\top,$$

where the 1 is in coordinate x. Let $\langle , \rangle$ denote the dot product

$$\langle x, y \rangle = x^\top y \quad (x, y \in \mathbb{V}).$$

Fix any vertex $x \in V(\Gamma)$. We will call x a *base* vertex. For each integer i $(0 \leq i \leq D)$, let $E_i^* = E_i^*(x)$ denote the diagonal matrix with rows and columns indexed by $V(\Gamma)$, and defined by

$$(E_i^*)_{y,y} = (A_i)_{x,y} = \langle A_i \hat{x}, \hat{y} \rangle \quad (y \in V(\Gamma)).$$

Note that $E_i^* E_j^* = \delta_{ij} E_i^*$, $\sum_{i=0}^D E_i^* = I$. These matrices span the *dual Bose-Mesner* algebra $\mathcal{M}^* = \mathcal{M}^*(x)$ with respect to x. The *Terwilliger* (or *subconstituent*) algebra $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}(x)$ with respect to x is the matrix algebra generated by $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{M}^*(x)$, see [15]. (Note that $\mathcal{T}(x)$ may depend on the base vertex x, as for example it is the case for the twisted Grassmann graphs, see [2].) That this algebra is semi-simple is a standard observation.

A $\mathcal{T}$-module is any subspace $W \subset \mathbb{V}$ such that $Tw \in W$ for any $T \in \mathcal{T}$, $w \in W$. A non-trivial $\mathcal{T}$-module is *irreducible* if it does not properly contain a non-zero $\mathcal{T}$-module. Since $\mathcal{T}$ is semi-simple, each $\mathcal{T}$-module is a direct sum of irreducible $\mathcal{T}$-modules, and $\mathbb{V}$ decomposes into an orthogonal direct sum of irreducible $\mathcal{T}$-modules.

Let W be an irreducible $\mathcal{T}$-module. We define the *endpoint* of W by $\min\{i : E_i^* W \neq 0\}$. An irreducible $\mathcal{T}$-module W is called *thin* if $\dim(E_i^* W) \leq 1$ for all $i = 0, 1, \ldots, D$; the graph Γ is called *thin* if, for any of its vertices x, each irreducible $\mathcal{T}(x)$-module is thin. There is a unique irreducible $\mathcal{T}$-module of endpoint 0, called the *trivial* module; it is thin and has basis $\{E_i^* \mathbf{1} \mid 0 \leq i \leq D\}$, where $\mathbf{1}$ is the all ones vector.

Let us recall the definitions of some families of Q-polynomial distance-regular graphs. The *Johnson graph* $J(N, D)$ $(N \geq 2D)$ has as vertices all D-element subsets of an N-element set, with two vertices adjacent if their intersection contains $D-1$ elements. The *binary Hamming graph* $H(N, 2)$ (the N-cube) has as vertices all words of length N over $\{0, 1\}$, with two words adjacent if they differ in exactly one position. The *halved graph* of the N-cube, denoted by $\frac{1}{2}H(N, 2)$, has vertex set consisting of all even-weight words of length N over $\{0, 1\}$, with two words adjacent if they differ in exactly two positions. For $\Gamma = \frac{1}{2}H(N, 2)$ (with N even) or $\Gamma = J(2D, D)$, the *antipodal quotient* (the *folded graph*) $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ of Γ has vertex set consisting of all subsets $\{x, y\}$, $x, y \in V(\Gamma)$, where $d(x, y)$ is the diameter of Γ, with $\{x, y\}$ and $\{x', y'\}$ adjacent in $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ if $x \sim_\Gamma x'$ or $x \sim_\Gamma y'$.

We say that a distance-regular graph Γ has *classical parameters* (D, b, α, β) if the diameter of Γ is D, and the intersection numbers of Γ satisfy

$$c_i = \begin{bmatrix} i \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \Big(1 + \alpha \begin{bmatrix} i-1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \Big), \tag{2}$$

so that, in particular, $c_2 = (b+1)(\alpha+1)$,

$$b_i = \Big(\begin{bmatrix} D \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} i \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}\Big)\Big(\beta - \alpha \begin{bmatrix} i \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}\Big), \tag{3}$$

where

$$\begin{bmatrix} j \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} := 1 + b + b^2 + \ldots + b^{j-1}.$$

Note that Γ is Q-polynomial, see [4, Corollary 8.4.2], and let E be the corresponding primitive idempotent of Γ. The following relation ([16, Eq. (52)]) between the dual eigenvalues associated with E will be useful:

$$\theta_i^* - \theta_0^* = (\theta_1^* - \theta_0^*) \begin{bmatrix} i \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} b^{1-i}. \tag{4}$$

The Johnson graphs and the Hamming graphs have classical parameters, see [4, Chapter 6]. It is known (see [4, Prop. 6.3.1]) when a graph with classical parameters (D, b, α, β) with diameter $D \geq 3$ is imprimitive (bipartite or antipodal). It is bipartite if and only if $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta = 1$; and it is antipodal if and only if $b = 1$ and $\beta = 1 + \alpha(D-1)$, in which case it is an antipodal double cover of its folded graph. This folded graph has diameter D' and intersection numbers defined by

$$b_i = (D-i)(1+\alpha(D-1-i)), \quad c_i = i(1+\alpha(i-1)) \quad \text{for} \quad i < D',$$

and $c_{D'} = \gamma D'(1+\alpha(D'-1))$, where $\gamma = 1$ if $D = 2D'+1$ and $\gamma = 2$ if $D = 2D'$. The graphs with such intersection numbers are called *pseudo partition* graphs. It is also known (see [5, Theorem 3.3]) that pseudo partition graphs with diameter $D' \geq 3$ must have the same intersection arrays as one of the three following families of partition graphs: the folded cubes ($\alpha = 0$), the folded Johnson graphs ($\alpha = 1$), and the folded halved cubes ($\alpha = 2$).

The folded cubes are determined by their intersection arrays, except for the folded 6-cube, see [4, Prop. 9.2.7]. The characterization of the other two families of partition graphs has been reduced in [5], [9], [10], [11] (for the detailed background see Section 5) to the problem about the folded halved cubes of diameter 3 and 4 with intersection arrays $\{91, 66, 45; 1, 6, 15\}$, $\{66, 45, 28; 1, 6, 30\}$, and $\{120, 91, 66, 45; 1, 6, 15, 56\}$ respectively. In this paper, we complete the characterization of pseudo partition graphs (see Theorem 5.4 in Section 5). Our proof also shows the previous results by Bussemaker and Neumaier [5], and Metsch [9], [10], [11].

3 Triple intersection numbers $[i, i+1, i+1]$

In this section, we show that (1) holds, see Theorem 3.2 below.

Let Γ denote a distance-regular graph with diameter $D \geq 3$. For all $x, y \in V(\Gamma)$, and all integers i and j, define

$$p_{ij}(x,y) := \sum_{z \in \Gamma_i(x) \cap \Gamma_j(y)} \hat{z},$$

and

$$x_y^- := p_{1h-1}(x,y),\ x_y^0 := p_{1h}(x,y),\ x_y^+ := p_{1h+1}(x,y),$$

where $h = d(x,y)$.

The following lemma is just Lemma 2.9 from [16] written in a slightly different form.

Lemma 3.1 *Let Γ denote a distance-regular graph with diameter $D \geq 3$, suppose that Γ is Q-polynomial with respect to the primitive idempotent*

$$E_1 = |V(\Gamma)|^{-1} \sum_{h=0}^{D} \theta_h^* A_h.$$

Then, for any adjacent vertices $x, y \in V(\Gamma)$, and any integer i $(1 \leq i \leq D)$, the vector

$$\frac{1}{p^1_{ii+1}} p_{ii+1}(x,y) + \hat{x}\frac{\theta_1^* - \theta_i^*}{\theta_0^* - \theta_1^*} - \hat{y}\frac{(\theta_1^* - \theta_2^*)(\theta_1^* - \theta_i^*) - (\theta_0^* - \theta_1^*)(\theta_2^* - \theta_{i+1}^*)}{(\theta_0^* - \theta_1^*)(\theta_0^* - \theta_2^*)} - \frac{1}{b_1} x_y^+ \frac{\theta_0^* + \theta_1^* - \theta_i^* - \theta_{i+1}^*}{\theta_0^* - \theta_2^*}$$

is orthogonal to $E_0\mathbb{V} + E_1\mathbb{V}$.

Proof: By [16, Lemma 2.9], we have that the vector

$$\frac{1}{p^1_{ii-1}} p_{ii-1}(x,y) - \hat{x}\frac{(\theta_1^* - \theta_2^*)(\theta_1^* - \theta_{i-1}^*) - (\theta_0^* - \theta_1^*)(\theta_2^* - \theta_i^*)}{(\theta_0^* - \theta_1^*)(\theta_0^* - \theta_2^*)} + \hat{y}\frac{\theta_1^* - \theta_{i-1}^*}{\theta_0^* - \theta_1^*} - \frac{1}{b_1} y_x^+ \frac{\theta_0^* + \theta_1^* - \theta_{i-1}^* - \theta_i^*}{\theta_0^* - \theta_2^*}$$

is orthogonal to $E_0\mathbb{V} + E_1\mathbb{V}$.

By the definition of $p_{ij}(x,y)$, we have $p_{ii-1}(x,y) = p_{i-1i}(y,x)$. Now the lemma follows by changing the roles of x and y and replacing i by $i+1$. ∎

The following theorem is an analogue of Theorem 2.11 from [16].

Theorem 3.2 *Let Γ denote a distance-regular graph with diameter $D \geq 3$, suppose that Γ is Q-polynomial with respect to the primitive idempotent*

$$E_1 = |V(\Gamma)|^{-1} \sum_{h=0}^{D} \theta_h^* A_h.$$

Then the following holds.

(1) *If $y \sim z$ then*

$$[i, i+1, i+1] = p^1_{i,i+1}\Big(\frac{[1,2,2]}{b_1} \times \frac{(\theta_2^* - \theta_1^*)(\theta_0^* + \theta_1^* - \theta_i^* - \theta_{i+1}^*)}{(\theta_0^* - \theta_2^*)(\theta_{i+1}^* - \theta_i^*)} + \frac{\theta_1^* - \theta_i^*}{\theta_{i+1}^* - \theta_i^*}\Big).$$

(2) *If $y \not\sim z$ then*

$$[i,i+1,i+1] = p^1_{i,i+1}\Big(\frac{[1,2,2]}{b_1} \times \frac{(\theta^*_2-\theta^*_1)(\theta^*_0+\theta^*_1-\theta^*_i-\theta^*_{i+1})}{(\theta^*_0-\theta^*_2)(\theta^*_{i+1}-\theta^*_i)} + \frac{(\theta^*_1-\theta^*_i)}{(\theta^*_{i+1}-\theta^*_i)} + \\ + \frac{(\theta^*_0-\theta^*_1)(\theta^*_1-\theta^*_2)(\theta^*_2-\theta^*_{i+1}) - (\theta^*_1-\theta^*_2)^2(\theta^*_1-\theta^*_i)}{(\theta^*_0-\theta^*_1)(\theta^*_0-\theta^*_2)(\theta^*_{i+1}-\theta^*_i)} + \\ + \frac{1}{b_1} \times \frac{(\theta^*_0-\theta^*_1)(\theta^*_0+\theta^*_1-\theta^*_i-\theta^*_{i+1}) - c_i(\theta^*_0-\theta^*_2)(\theta^*_{i-1}-\theta^*_i)}{(\theta^*_0-\theta^*_2)(\theta^*_{i+1}-\theta^*_i)}\Big). \quad (5)$$

Proof: Denote $|V(\Gamma)|$ by v for short. We follow the proof of [16, Theorem 2.11]. Let us compute the inner product of $E_1\hat{z}$ and the vector in Lemma 3.1, and set the result equal to 0. Note that

$$v\langle E_1\hat{z}, p_{ii+1}(x,y)\rangle = [i,i+1,i-1]\theta^*_{i-1} + [i,i+1,i]\theta^*_i + [i,i+1,i+1]\theta^*_{i+1},$$

and $[i,i+1,i-1] + [i,i+1,i] + [i,i+1,i+1] = p^1_{i,i+1}$.

(1) If $y \sim z$ then $[i,i+1,i-1] = 0$, and

$$v\langle E_1\hat{z}, p_{ii+1}(x,y)\rangle = (p^1_{i,i+1} - [i,i+1,i+1])\theta^*_i + [i,i+1,i+1]\theta^*_{i+1}.$$

Further,

$$v\langle E_1\hat{z}, \hat{x}\rangle = \theta^*_1,$$
$$v\langle E_1\hat{z}, \hat{y}\rangle = \theta^*_1,$$
$$v\langle E_1\hat{z}, x^+_y\rangle = b_1\theta^*_1 + [1,2,2](\theta^*_2-\theta^*_1).$$

It now follows that

$$[i,i+1,i+1] = p^1_{i,i+1}\Big(\frac{[1,2,2]}{b_1} \times \frac{(\theta^*_2-\theta^*_1)(\theta^*_0+\theta^*_1-\theta^*_i-\theta^*_{i+1})}{(\theta^*_0-\theta^*_2)(\theta^*_{i+1}-\theta^*_i)} + \frac{\theta^*_1-\theta^*_i}{\theta^*_{i+1}-\theta^*_i}\Big).$$

(2) If $y \not\sim z$ then $[i,i+1,i-1] = p^2_{i+1,i-1}$, and

$$v\langle E_1\hat{z}, p_{ii+1}(x,y)\rangle = p^2_{i+1,i-1}\theta^*_{i-1} + (p^1_{i,i+1} - p^2_{i+1,i-1} - [i,i+1,i+1])\theta^*_i + [i,i+1,i+1]\theta^*_{i+1}.$$

Further,

$$v\langle E_1\hat{z}, \hat{x}\rangle = \theta^*_1,$$
$$v\langle E_1\hat{z}, \hat{y}\rangle = \theta^*_2,$$
$$v\langle E_1\hat{z}, x^+_y\rangle = [1,2,0]\theta^*_0 + [1,2,1]\theta^*_1 + [1,2,2]\theta^*_2 = \theta^*_0 + (b_1 - 1 - [1,2,2])\theta^*_1 + [1,2,2]\theta^*_2,$$

which gives (5). The theorem is proved. ∎

Using (2)–(4), we obtain:

Corollary 3.3 *Let Γ denote a distance-regular graph with diameter $D \geq 3$. Suppose that Γ is Q-polynomial with respect to the primitive idempotent*

$$E_1 = |V(\Gamma)|^{-1} \sum_{h=0}^{d} \theta_h^* A_h$$

and has classical parameters (D, b, α, β).

Then the following holds.

(1) *If $y \sim z$ then*

$$[i, i+1, i+1] = p^1_{i,i+1}\Big(\frac{[1,2,2]}{b_1} \times \frac{2\begin{bmatrix} i+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - (1+b^i)}{1+b} - b\begin{bmatrix} i-1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}\Big).$$

(2) *If $y \not\sim z$ then*

$$[i, i+1, i+1] = p^1_{i,i+1}\Big(\frac{[1,2,2]}{b_1} \times \frac{2\begin{bmatrix} i+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - (1+b^i)}{1+b} - b\begin{bmatrix} i-1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{b}{b_1} \times \big(c_i - \frac{(2\begin{bmatrix} i+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - (1+b^i))}{1+b}\big)\Big).$$

4 The Terwilliger polynomial

Let Γ be a distance-regular graph with diameter $D \geq 3$, suppose that Γ is Q-polynomial with Q-polynomial ordering $E_0, E_1, \ldots, E_D$. Fix a base vertex $x \in V(\Gamma)$, $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}(x)$ with respect to x, $E_i^* = E_i^*(x)$ and denote $\widetilde{A}_i = E_i^* A_1 E_i^*$ for $i = 0, 1, \ldots, D$. We write $\widetilde{A}$ instead of $\widetilde{A}_1$ for short. For notational convenience, we also set $\widetilde{A}^0 := E_1^*$ and $\widetilde{J} := E_1^* J E_1^*$. With an appropriate ordering of the vertices of Γ, one can see that

$$\widetilde{A} = \begin{pmatrix} N & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where the principal submatrix N is, in fact, the adjacency matrix of $\Gamma(x)$, the local graph of x.

We now recall some facts about irreducible $\mathcal{T}$-modules of endpoint 1, see [13], cf. [7]. Let U_1^* be the the subspace of $E_1^*\mathbb{V}$, which is orthogonal to $\mathbf{1}$. Let W be an irreducible $\mathcal{T}(x)$-module of endpoint 1. Then E_1^*W is a one-dimensional subspace of U_1^*; in particular, any non-zero vector $w \in E_1^*W$ is an eigenvector of $\widetilde{A}$, and $W = \mathcal{T}w$. Conversely, for an eigenvector w of $\widetilde{A}$, the subspace $W = \mathcal{T}w$ is an irreducible $\mathcal{T}$-module of endpoint 1. Let $a_0(W)$ denote the corresponding eigenvalue. Note that $a_0(W)$ is a non-principal eigenvalue of the local graph of x.

Set

$$w_i^+ = E_i^* A_{i-1} E_1^* w, \quad w_i^- = E_i^* A_{i+1} E_1^* w \quad (1 \leq i \leq D).$$

It follows from [13] that W is thin if and only if w_i^+, w_i^- are linearly dependent for every i, $2 \leq i \leq D-1$. Note that the determinant of a Gram matrix of w_i^+, w_i^- is non-negative:

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} \langle w_i^+, w_i^+ \rangle & \langle w_i^+, w_i^- \rangle \\ \langle w_i^+, w_i^- \rangle & \langle w_i^-, w_i^- \rangle \end{pmatrix} \geq 0. \tag{6}$$

Terwilliger [13] implicitly showed that there exist polynomials $p_i^{\epsilon\delta} \in \mathbb{C}[\lambda]$, $\epsilon, \delta \in \{+, -\}$, which depend only on i and the intersection numbers of Γ, such that

$$E_1^* A_{i\epsilon 1} E_i^* A_{i\delta 1} E_1^* = \alpha_{\epsilon\delta} \widetilde{J} + p_i^{\epsilon\delta}(\widetilde{A})$$

for some $\alpha_{\epsilon\delta} \in \mathbb{C}$, and therefore

$$\langle w_i^\epsilon, w_i^\delta \rangle = \|w\|^2 p_i^{\epsilon\delta}(a_0(W)),$$

as

$$\langle w_i^\epsilon, w_i^\delta \rangle = w^\top E_1^* A_{i\epsilon 1} E_i^* A_{i\delta 1} E_1^* w, \quad \text{and} \quad w^\top \widetilde{J} w = 0.$$

Define

$$T_i(\lambda) := p_i^{++}(\lambda) p_i^{--}(\lambda) - p_i^{+-}(\lambda)^2. \tag{7}$$

Taking into account (6), one has the following result.

Theorem 4.1 *Let Γ be a Q-polynomial distance-regular graph with diameter $D \geq 3$. Then, for any $i = 2, \ldots, D-1$, for any vertex $x \in V(\Gamma)$ and any non-principal eigenvalue η of the local graph of x, $T_i(\eta) \geq 0$ holds, with equality if and only if $\mathcal{T}(x)w$ is a thin module of endpoint 1, where w is an eigenvector of $\widetilde{A}$ with eigenvalue η.*

We will call the polynomial $T_i(\lambda)$ the *Terwilliger polynomial* of Γ.

Remark 4.2 *(i) Terwilliger [13] claimed that*

$$T_i(\lambda) \text{ is independent on } i \text{ up to a scalar multiple .} \tag{8}$$

However, the proof was not given, and it seems to be non-trivial. Therefore, the independence $T_i(\lambda)$ on i should be explained/verified (although we believe that this is true, and this was true for all the examples we calculated the polynomials for). See also Remark 4.3 below.

(ii) One might expect that $p_i^{++}, p_i^{--}, p_i^{+-}$ do not depend on i up to a scalar multiple. This is not true in general.

(iii) In this section, we calculate $T_i(\lambda)$ explicitly for the first possible value of i, i.e. $i = 2$. Therefore, in what follows, we omit the sub-index i and write just T, p^{++}, p^{--}, p^{+-} (assuming that $i = 2$).

Remark 4.3 *Suppose that Γ has classical parameters. We guess that in this case one may use the following approach to prove (8). Recall the definitions of the raise operator R:*

$$R := \sum_{i=0}^{D-1} E_{i+1}^* A_1 E_i^*,$$

and the lower operator L:

$$L := \sum_{i=0}^{D-1} E_i^* A_1 E_{i+1}^*,$$

which are well known in the theory of Terwilliger algebras. Note that $L^\top = R$.

If W is a non-thin module with endpoint 1 then, with the above notation, it follows from [7, Lemma 2.6] and [7, Theorem 5.1] that

$$\begin{aligned} Rw_i^+ &= c_i w_{i+1}^+, \\ Rw_i^- &= r_i^+ w_{i+1}^+ + r_i^- w_{i+1}^-, \\ Lw_{i+1}^- &= b_{i+1} w_i^-, \\ Lw_{i+1}^+ &= l_{i+1}^+ w_i^+ + l_{i+1}^- w_i^-, \end{aligned}$$

for some $r_i^\pm, l_{i+1}^\pm$, which can be considered as polynomials in $\eta := a_0(W)$ (see [7] for the details), and $2 \le i \le D-2$.

Further, using these relations and calculating the inner product $\langle Rw_i^+, Rw_i^- \rangle$ in two different ways:

$$\langle Rw_i^+, Rw_i^- \rangle = (w_i^+)^\top R^\top R w_i^- = (w_i^-)^\top R^\top R w_i^+, \tag{9}$$

one can get the equality

$$\langle w_i^+, w_i^+ \rangle \cdot (r_i^+ l_{i+1}^+) + \langle w_i^+, w_i^- \rangle \cdot (r_i^+ l_{i+1}^- + r_i^- b_{i+1}) = \langle w_i^-, w_i^- \rangle \cdot (c_i l_{i+1}^-) + \langle w_i^+, w_i^- \rangle \cdot (c_i^+ l_{i+1}^+), \tag{10}$$

and then express

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} \langle w_{i+1}^+, w_{i+1}^+ \rangle & \langle w_{i+1}^+, w_{i+1}^- \rangle \\ \langle w_{i+1}^+, w_{i+1}^- \rangle & \langle w_{i+1}^-, w_{i+1}^- \rangle \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{in terms of} \quad \det \begin{pmatrix} \langle w_i^+, w_i^+ \rangle & \langle w_i^+, w_i^- \rangle \\ \langle w_i^+, w_i^- \rangle & \langle w_i^-, w_i^- \rangle \end{pmatrix},$$

and a constant multiple. But the details still need to be checked.

Below we will make use of the results from Section 3 to calculate polynomials $T_2(\lambda)$ and $p_2^{--}(\lambda)$.

Note that (10) allows us to calculate $\langle w_i^-, w_i^- \rangle$ as a polynomial in η, if $\langle w_i^+, w_i^- \rangle = \|w\|^2 p_i^{+-}(\eta)$ and $\langle w_i^+, w_i^+ \rangle = \|w\|^2 p_i^{++}(\eta)$ are known. (The polynomials $p_2^{++}(\lambda)$ and $p_2^{+-}(\lambda)$ can be relatively easily determined.)

We do not know whether for any distance-regular graph with classical parameters the polynomial $p_2^{--}(\lambda)$ calculated using Equation (10) is the same as the one in Lemma 4.6. But if they are not the same this would give strong new information. The above approach probably will also work for all Q-polynomial distance-regular graphs.

The polynomial p_i^{+-} can be easily determined for any i.

Lemma 4.4 *The following holds:*

$$E_1^* A_{i-1} E_i^* A_{i+1} E_1^* = (\widetilde{J} - \widetilde{A} - E_1^*) p_{i-1,i+1}^2, \quad (2 \le i \le D-1)$$

in particular, $p_i^{+-}(\lambda) = -p_{i-1,i+1}^2(\lambda + 1)$.

Proof: For a pair of vertices $y, z \in \Gamma(x)$, we have $(E_1^* A_{i-1} E_i^* A_{i+1} E_1^*)_{y,z} = |\Gamma_i(x) \cap \Gamma_{i-1}(y) \cap \Gamma_{i+1}(z)|$, i.e.,

$$(E_1^* A_{i-1} E_i^* A_{i+1} E_1^*)_{y,z} = \begin{cases} 0 \text{ if } y = z, \\ 0 \text{ if } y \sim z, \\ p_{i-1,i+1}^2 \text{ if } y \not\sim z,\ y \neq z, \end{cases} \tag{11}$$

which shows the lemma. ∎

Lemma 4.5 *The following holds:*

$$E_1^* A E_2^* A E_1^* = (c_2 - 1)\widetilde{J} + (k - c_2)E_1^* + (a_1 - c_2)\widetilde{A} - \widetilde{A}^2, \tag{12}$$

in particular, $p^{++}(\lambda) = -\lambda^2 + (a_1 - c_2)\lambda + (k - c_2)$.

Proof: For a pair of vertices $y, z \in \Gamma(x)$, we have $(E_1^* A E_2^* A E_1^*)_{y,z} = |\Gamma_2(x) \cap \Gamma(y) \cap \Gamma(z)|$, and note that $\widetilde{A}_{y,z}^2 = |\Gamma(x) \cap \Gamma(y) \cap \Gamma(z)|$ so that

$$(E_1^* A E_2^* A E_1^*)_{y,z} = \begin{cases} b_1 \text{ if } y = z, \\ a_1 - 1 - \widetilde{A}_{y,z}^2 \text{ if } y \sim z, \\ c_2 - 1 - \widetilde{A}_{y,z}^2 \text{ if } y \not\sim z,\ y \neq z. \end{cases} \tag{13}$$

The lemma follows by comparing (13) with the right-hand side of (12). ∎

Lemma 4.6 *The following holds:*

$$E_1^* A_3 E_2^* A_3 E_1^* = p_{23}^1 \Big(\tau_2 \widetilde{J} + (1 - a_1\tau_0 - \tau_2)E_1^* + (\tau_1 - \tau_2)\widetilde{A} + \tau_0 \widetilde{A}^2 \Big), \tag{14}$$

where

$$\tau_0 = \frac{1}{b_1} \times \frac{(\theta_2^* - \theta_1^*)(\theta_0^* + \theta_1^* - \theta_2^* - \theta_3^*)}{(\theta_0^* - \theta_2^*)(\theta_3^* - \theta_2^*)},$$

$$\tau_1 = \frac{\theta_2^* - \theta_1^*}{\theta_3^* - \theta_2^*} \Big(\frac{(\theta_1^* - \theta_3^*)}{(\theta_0^* - \theta_2^*)} - \frac{a_1 - 1}{b_1} - \frac{(a_1 - 1)(\theta_1^* - \theta_3^*)}{b_1(\theta_0^* - \theta_2^*)} \Big),$$

$$\tau_2 = \frac{\theta_2^* - \theta_1^*}{\theta_3^* - \theta_2^*} \Big(\frac{(\theta_1^* - \theta_3^*)}{(\theta_0^* - \theta_2^*)} - \frac{a_1 + 1 - c_2}{b_1} - \frac{(a_1 + 1)(\theta_1^* - \theta_3^*)}{b_1(\theta_0^* - \theta_2^*)} + \\ + \frac{(\theta_1^* - \theta_2^*)^2 - (\theta_0^* - \theta_1^*)(\theta_2^* - \theta_3^*)}{(\theta_0^* - \theta_1^*)(\theta_0^* - \theta_2^*)} - \frac{1}{b_1} \times \frac{(\theta_0^* - \theta_1^*)(\theta_0^* + \theta_1^* - \theta_2^* - \theta_3^*)}{(\theta_0^* - \theta_2^*)(\theta_1^* - \theta_2^*)} \Big) \tag{15}$$

In particular, $p^{--}(\lambda) = p_{23}^1 \Big(\tau_0 \lambda^2 + (\tau_1 - \tau_2)\lambda + (1 - a_1\tau_0 - \tau_2) \Big)$.

Proof: For a pair of vertices $y, z \in \Gamma(x)$, we have $(E_1^* A_3 E_2^* A_3 E_1^*)_{y,z} = |\Gamma_2(x) \cap \Gamma_3(y) \cap \Gamma_3(z)| = [2,3,3]$. Recall that $\widetilde{A}^2_{y,z} = [1,1,1]$ in our notation.

We note that if $y \sim z$ then $[1,1,1] + [1,1,2] = a_1 - 1$ and $[1,1,2] + [1,2,2] = b_1$ hold. This gives

$$[1,2,2] = [1,1,1] + b_1 - a_1 + 1 \quad \text{if } y \sim z. \tag{16}$$

If $y \not\sim z$ and $y \neq z$ then $[1,1,1] + [1,1,2] = a_1$ and $[1,1,2] + [1,2,2] = b_1 - 1$ so that

$$[1,2,2] = [1,1,1] + b_1 - a_1 - 1 \quad \text{if } y \not\sim z,\ y \neq z. \tag{17}$$

By Theorem 3.2 and (16), (17), we see that

$$\frac{[2,3,3]}{p^1_{23}} = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ if } y = z, \\ \tau_0 \widetilde{A}^2_{y,z} + \tau_1 \text{ if } y \sim z, \\ \tau_0 \widetilde{A}^2_{y,z} + \tau_2 \text{ if } y \not\sim z,\ y \neq z, \end{cases} \tag{18}$$

where τ_0, τ_1, and τ_2 are rational expressions in the dual eigenvalues and intersection numbers of Γ. Using (16) and (17), we obtain explicit expressions for τ_0, τ_1, and τ_2.

The lemma follows by comparing (18) with the right-hand side of (14). ∎

Lemma 4.7 *Suppose that Γ has classical parameters (D, b, α, β). Then the Terwilliger polynomial of Γ is*

$$T(\lambda) = \frac{b_2}{\alpha+1}\Big(-\lambda^2 + \lambda\big(\alpha \begin{bmatrix} D \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + \beta - \alpha - 1 - (\alpha+1)(b+1)\big) + \beta \begin{bmatrix} D \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - (\alpha+1)(b+1)\Big) \times \\ \times \Big(\lambda^2 + \lambda(2 - \alpha b) - \alpha b + 1\Big) - b_2^2(\lambda+1)^2. \tag{19}$$

Furthermore, the roots of $T(\lambda)$ are

$$\beta - \alpha - 1, \ \ -1, \ \ -b-1, \ \ \alpha b \frac{b^{D-1} - 1}{b-1} - 1.$$

Proof: Using (2)–(4) and Lemma 4.6, we obtain the following expressions for τ_0, τ_1, τ_2:

$$\tau_0 = \frac{b+1}{b_1}, \ \ \tau_1 = \frac{b+1}{b_1}(b_1 - a_1 + 1) - b, \ \ \tau_2 = \frac{b+1}{b_1}(b_1 - a_1 - 1 + \alpha b) - b,$$

which gives

$$p^{--}(\lambda) = p^1_{23} \frac{b+1}{b_1}\big(\lambda^2 + \lambda(2 - \alpha b) - \alpha b + 1\big). \tag{20}$$

As $p^1_{23} = \frac{b_1 b_2}{c_2}$ and $c_2 = (b+1)(\alpha+1)$, we see that $p^1_{23} \frac{b+1}{b_1} = \frac{b_2}{\alpha+1}$.

Further, we have $a_1 = \alpha\begin{bmatrix} D \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + \beta - \alpha - 1$, $k = \beta\begin{bmatrix} D \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $c_2 = (\alpha+1)(b+1)$ so that

$$p^{++}(\lambda) = -\lambda^2 + \lambda\Big(\alpha\begin{bmatrix} D \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + \beta - \alpha - 1 - (\alpha+1)(b+1)\Big) + \beta\begin{bmatrix} D \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - (\alpha+1)(b+1). \tag{21}$$

Combining (20) and (21), we obtain (19).

It is easily seen from (20) that $p^{--}(-1) = 0$ as well as $p^{+-}(-1) = 0$. Therefore, -1 is a root of $T(\lambda)$. Substituting $\lambda = \beta-\alpha-1$, $-b-1$, or $\alpha b\frac{b^{D-1}-1}{b-1} - 1$ into (19) (after some tedious calculations) shows the lemma. ∎

Remark 4.8 *For any Q-polynomial distance-regular graph Terwilliger [15] calculated the possible eigenvalues of the local graph belonging to thin irreducible modules of endpoint* 1*. We can show that the roots of the Terwilliger polynomial are consistent with his result.*

5 Pseudo-partition graphs and Q-polynomial distance-regular graphs of type 2

As we mentioned above, the pseudo-partition graphs with diameter at least 3 must have the same intersection arrays as one of the three families of partition graphs: the folded cubes, the folded Johnson graphs, and the folded halved cubes. These graphs are Q-polynomial, and the graphs from the last two families are said to be type 2 graphs (see below for the definition).

Recall that a distance-regular graph Γ with diameter $D \geq 3$ is said to be Q-polynomial of type 2 (*of type* 2 for short) if there exist $h, h^*, x, y, t^* \in \mathbb{C}$ such that:

(1) the intersection numbers of Γ are given by:

$$c_i = \frac{hi(i-t+x)(i-t+y)(i-t+D)}{(2i-t)(2i-t-1)},\ 1 \leq i \leq D-1, \tag{22}$$

$$c_D = \frac{hD(D-t+x)(D-t+y)}{2D-t-1}, \tag{23}$$

$$b_i = \frac{h(i-t)(i-x)(i-y)(i-D)}{(2i-t)(2i-t+1)},\ 0 \leq i \leq D-1, \tag{24}$$

$$b_0 = \frac{hxyD}{t-1}. \tag{25}$$

(2) the distinct eigenvalues of Γ are given by:

$$\theta_i = b_0 + hi(i-t^*),\ 0 \leq i \leq D, \tag{26}$$

where

$$t + t^* = x + y + D + 1. \tag{27}$$

(3) the dual intersection numbers c_i^*, b_{i-1}^* $(1 \le i \le D)$ and dual eigenvalues θ_i^* $(0 \le i \le D)$ are obtained by replacing h, t, and b_0 above by h^*, t^*, and b_0^*, respectively.

Remark 5.1 *Since c_1 and c_1^* equal 1, h and h^* and hence all intersection numbers are determined by from x, y, D, and t. The only known graphs of type 2 with diameter $D \ge 3$ are the following:*

(i) the antipodal quotient of the Johnson graph $J(2t, t)$ (the folded Johnson graph) with $D = \frac{t-1}{2}$, $\{x, y\} = \{\frac{t}{2}, t\}$ if t is odd, and $D = \frac{t}{2}$, $\{x, y\} = \{\frac{t-1}{2}, t\}$ if t is even (in both cases $h = 4$),

(ii) the halved graph $\frac{1}{2}H(2D+1, 2)$ of the $(2D+1)$-cube with $t = D + \frac{1}{2}$, $\{x, y\} = \{\frac{t-1}{2}, \frac{t}{2}\}$, and $h = 8$,

(iii) the antipodal quotient of $\frac{1}{2}H(2t, 2)$ (the folded halved cube) with $D = \frac{t-1}{2}$, $\{x, y\} = \{\frac{t}{2}, t - \frac{1}{2}\}$ if t is odd, and $D = \frac{t}{2}$, $\{x, y\} = \{\frac{t-1}{2}, t - \frac{1}{2}\}$ if t is even (in both cases $h = 8$).

Note that, for the folded Johnson graphs and the folded halved cubes, the Q-polynomial ordering of primitive idempotents is natural, while the halved $(2D+1)$-cube has the Q-polynomial ordering $E_0, E_2, E_4, \ldots, E_3, E_1$, where $\theta_0 > \theta_1 > \ldots > \theta_D$ is the natural ordering of the corresponding eigenvalues.

Remark 5.2 *According to [14, Note 1], we should note that $t \notin \{1, 2, \ldots, 2D-1\}$. We also mention the correspondence with the notation from the monograph by Bannai and Ito [1] (also note that [14, Note 3] contains misprints: there should be $-1 - r_i$ instead of $1 - r_i$):*

$$x = -1 - r_1,\ y = -1 - r_2,\ D = -1 - r_3,\ t = -1 - s^*,\ t^* = -1 - s.$$

Terwilliger [14] obtained the following result.

Theorem 5.3 *([14, Theorem 2.2]) A type 2 graph with diameter $D \ge 14$ is either:*

(i) the antipodal quotient of the Johnson graph $J(4D, 2D)$ or $J(4D+2, 2D+1)$,

(ii) the halved graph $\frac{1}{2}H(2D+1, 2)$ of the $(2D+1)$-cube,

(iii) the antipodal quotient of $\frac{1}{2}H(4D, 2)$ or $\frac{1}{2}H(4D+2, 2)$,

(iv) a graph not listed above, but with the same intersection array as (i) or (iii).

In [12], Neumaier showed that the halved graph $\frac{1}{2}H(2D+1, 2)$ of the $(2D+1)$-cube, $D \ge 3$, is uniquely determined as distance-regular graph by its intersection array.

In [5], Bussemaker and Neumaier proved that the folded Johnson graphs and the folded halved cubes with diameter at least 154 are uniquely determined as distance-regular graphs by their intersection

arrays. Metsch [9], [10], [11] improved this result by showing that the same remains true for the folded Johnson graphs with diameter at least 3 and the folded halved cubes with diameter at least 5. In particular, there are no graphs in (iv) of Theorem 5.3.

The folded halved cubes of diameter 3 and 4 have intersection arrays $\{91, 66, 45; 1, 6, 15\}$, $\{66, 45, 28; 1, 6, 30\}$, and $\{120, 91, 66, 45; 1, 6, 15, 56\}$ respectively, and the problem of their characterization by these intersection arrays remained open. In this section, we solve this problem.

Theorem 5.4 *The folded Johnson graphs, the folded halved cubes with diameter at least* 3 *are uniquely determined as distance-regular graphs by their intersection arrays.*

Theorem 5.4 is an immediate consequence of a slightly more general result, see Theorem 5.5 below.

Terwilliger [14] noticed that all graphs in Remark 5.1 with $D \geq 4$ satisfy

$$c_3 - 3c_2 + 3 = b_2 - 2b_1 + k - c_2 + 2 = 0, \tag{28}$$

however, we should notice that this equation is violated for the folded Johnson graph and one of the folded halved cubes with diameter 3.

Following [14], let us define

$$\gamma_r = \sum_{i=0}^{r} (-1)^i c_{r-i} \binom{r}{i}, \ \beta_r = \sum_{i=0}^{r} (-1)^i b_{r-i} \binom{r}{i},$$

so that (28) is equivalent to $\gamma_3 = \beta_2 - \gamma_2 = 0$. Furthermore, if (28) holds, then the intersection numbers of the graphs of type 2 take a simple form, see [14, Corollary 2.5]. In particular, it follows from [14, Corollary 2.5] that (28) implies that $\{x, y, D\} = \{\frac{t-1}{2}, \frac{t}{2}, t - 1 + \frac{2}{\gamma_2}\}$ for graphs with diameter at least 4. As we have noticed above, the folded Johnson graph with intersection array $\{36, 25, 16; 1, 4, 18\}$ and the folded halved cube with intersection array $\{66, 45, 28; 1, 6, 30\}$ do not satisfy (28), however, Theorem 5.5 covers these two cases.

Theorem 5.5 *Let* Γ *be a graph of type* 2 *and with diameter* $D \geq 3$*. Suppose that* $\{x, y, D\} = \{\frac{t-1}{2}, \frac{t}{2}, t - 1 + \frac{2}{\gamma_2}\}$.

(i) If $t \in \{2D, 2D + 1\}$*, then* $\gamma_2 = 2$ *and* Γ *is the antipodal quotient of the Johnson graph* $J(4D, 2D)$ *or* $J(4D + 2, 2D + 1)$*, or* $\gamma_2 = 4$ *and* Γ *is the antipodal quotient of* $\frac{1}{2}H(4D, 2)$ *or* $\frac{1}{2}H(4D + 2, 2)$.

(ii) If $t = D + 1 - \frac{2}{\gamma_2}$*, then* $\gamma_2 = 4$ *and* Γ *is the halved graph* $\frac{1}{2}H(2D + 1, 2)$ *of the* $(2D + 1)$*-cube.*

In [14], Terwilliger shows that $D \geq 14$ implies that (28) holds, which in its turn yields Theorem 5.3. We will make use of the results from the previous sections in order to show that the assumptions on x, y, t and D in Theorem 5.5 imply that the local graphs of Γ are strongly regular with smallest eigenvalue -2, and by [3], [5], [12] this information is sufficient to determine Γ.

Lemma 5.6 *Suppose that* $\{x, y, D\} = \{\frac{t-1}{2}, \frac{t}{2}, t-1+\frac{2}{\gamma_2}\}$. *Then* $h = 2\gamma_2$.

Proof: Substituting x, y, D into (22) with $i = 1$, and taking into account that $c_1 = 1$, we get $h = 2\gamma_2$. ∎

Lemma 5.7 ([*15, Corollary* 4.12]) *The Terwilliger polynomial of a graph of type* 2 *has the following roots:*

$$-1 - \frac{(x-1)(D-1)(t-1)}{(x-t+1)(D-t+1)(t-3)} = -1 - \frac{b_1(y-t+1)}{(t-1)(y-1)}, \tag{29}$$

$$-1 - \frac{(y-1)(D-1)(t-1)}{(y-t+1)(D-t+1)(t-3)} = -1 - \frac{b_1(x-t+1)}{(t-1)(x-1)}, \tag{30}$$

$$-1 - \frac{(x-1)(y-1)(t-1)}{(x-t+1)(y-t+1)(t-3)} = -1 - \frac{b_1(D-t+1)}{(t-1)(D-1)} = \hat{\theta}_D, \tag{31}$$

$$-1 - \frac{1-t}{3-t} = -2 - \frac{2}{t-3} = \hat{\theta}_1. \tag{32}$$

Lemma 5.8 *The leading term coefficient of the Terwilliger polynomial of a type* 2 *graph with diameter* $D \geq 3$ *is equal to:*

$$-p_{23}^1\tau_0 = -\frac{2p_{23}^1}{b_1} \times \frac{(t-3)^2}{(t-2)(t-5)}.$$

Proof: It follows directly from Lemma 4.5, Lemma 4.6, and $\theta_i^* = b_0^* + h^* i(i-t)$, $0 \leq i \leq D$. ∎

To the rest of this section, we suppose that Γ is a type 2 graph with diameter $D \geq 3$ and its intersection numbers defined by (22)–(25).

Lemma 5.9 *If* $t = D + 1 - \frac{2}{\gamma_2}$, $\{x, y\} = \{\frac{t}{2}, \frac{t-1}{2}\}$ *then* Γ *is the halved cube* $\frac{1}{2}H(2D+1, 2)$ *(and* $\gamma_2 = 4$*).*

Proof: We first note that if $t = D + 1 - \frac{2}{\gamma_2}$ and $\{x, y\} = \{\frac{t}{2}, \frac{t-1}{2}\}$ then $t^* = D + \frac{1}{2}$ by (27), and $h = 2\gamma_2$ by Lemma 5.6. By (26), we have $\theta_0 > \theta_D > \theta_1 > \theta_{D-1} > \theta_2 > \ldots$, and hence Γ has the following Q-polynomial ordering:

$$E_0, E_2, E_4, \ldots, E_3, E_1, \tag{33}$$

where $E_0, E_1, \ldots, E_D$ is the natural ordering of primitive idempotents.

The second largest eigenvalue of Γ is θ_D, defined by (26), i.e., θ_D equals $b_0 + hD(D - t^*) = b_0 - Dh/2 = b_0 - D\gamma_2$.

Substituting $t = D + 1 - \frac{2}{\gamma_2}$ and $h = 2\gamma_2$ into (24) with $i = 1$, we see that $\theta_D = b_1 - 1$. By [4, Theorem 4.4.11], Γ is one of the following:

- a Hamming graph, a Doob graph, or locally Petersen graph (the Doro graph or the Conway – Smith graph) (and $c_2 = 2$),
- a Johnson graph (and $c_2 = 4$),
- a halved cube (and $c_2 = 6$),
- the Gosset graph with intersection array $\{27, 10, 1; 1, 10, 27\}$ (and $c_2 = 10$).

The Gosset graph (with respect to the second largest eigenvalue) and the Johnson graphs are Q-polynomial with type 2A. Since $\gamma_2 \geq 1$, we have $c_2 > 2$ and, hence, Γ is the halved cube. The halved cube $\frac{1}{2}H(m, 2)$ has the second Q-polynomial ordering as in (33) if and only if $m = 2D + 1$, see [1]. The lemma is proved. ∎

For the remaining cases $t \in \{2D, 2D + 1\}$, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 5.10 *Let Δ be a non-complete graph on v vertices, regular with valency k. Let $\eta_1 = k, \eta_2, \ldots, \eta_v$ be all the eigenvalues of Δ. Suppose that there are real numbers r, s, $r < s$, such that, for every $i = 2, \ldots, v$, $\eta_i \notin (r, s)$ holds. Then*

$$kv - k^2 + k(r + s) + (v - 1)rs \geq 0 \tag{34}$$

with equality if and only if Δ is a strongly regular graph with non-principal eigenvalues r, s.

Proof: Suppose that, for every $i = 2, \ldots, v$, $\eta_i \leq r$ or $\eta_i \geq s$ holds. Since $(\eta_i - r)(\eta_i - s) \geq 0$ holds for every $i > 1$, it follows that $\sum_{i=2}^{v}(\eta_i - r)(\eta_i - s) \geq 0$ with equality if and only if $\eta_i \in \{r, s\}$ for all i, and in this case Δ is strongly regular. Further, we have

$$\sum_{i=2}^{v}(\eta_i - r)(\eta_i - s) = \sum_{i=2}^{v}\eta_i^2 - (r + s)\sum_{i=2}^{v}\eta_i + (v - 1)rs \geq 0. \tag{35}$$

Let B be the adjacency matrix of Δ. Then

$$tr(B) = k + \sum_{i=2}^{v}\eta_i = 0, \quad tr(B^2) = k^2 + \sum_{i=2}^{v}\eta_i^2 = kv. \tag{36}$$

Combining (35) and (36), we obtain the required inequality (34). ∎

Lemma 5.11 *If $\{x, y, D\} = \{\frac{t-1}{2}, \frac{t}{2}, t - 1 + \frac{2}{\gamma_2}\}$ and $t \in \{2D, 2D + 1\}$ then the local graph of any vertex of Γ is a strongly regular graph with smallest eigenvalue -2 and parameters*

$$(k, \lambda, \mu) = ((t - 1)\gamma_2, t\gamma_2/2 - 2, \gamma_2). \tag{37}$$

Proof: We note that the first three roots (29)–(31) of the Terwilliger polynomial are invariant with respect to a permutation of x, y, D. Substituting $\{x, y, D\} = \{\frac{t-1}{2}, \frac{t}{2}, t-1+\frac{2}{\gamma_2}\}$ into (29)–(31), we obtain the following values:

$$\frac{\gamma_2(t-2)}{2}, \quad -1+\frac{(\gamma_2(t-2)+2)(t-1)}{2(t-3)}, \quad \text{and} \quad -2,$$

so that

$$-2-\frac{2}{t-3} < -2 < \frac{\gamma_2(t-2)}{2} < -1+\frac{(\gamma_2(t-2)+2)(t-1)}{2(t-3)}.$$

If $t \in \{2D, 2D+1\}$ then, by Lemma 5.8, we see that the leading term coefficient of the Terwilliger polynomial is negative, and, by Theorem 4.1, every non-principal eigenvalue η of the local graph of an arbitrary vertex of Γ satisfies $\eta \notin (-2, \frac{\gamma_2(t-2)}{2})$.

As $\{x, y, D\} = \{\frac{t-1}{2}, \frac{t}{2}, t-1+\frac{2}{\gamma_2}\}$ and $t \in \{2D, 2D+1\}$, we have $h = 2\gamma_2$ by Lemma 5.6, and, further,

$$b_0 = \frac{hxyD}{t-1} = t + \gamma_2 t(t-1)/2,$$
$$a_1 = b_0 - b_1 - 1 = \gamma_2(t-1).$$

Applying Lemma 5.10 with $k = a_1$, $v = b_0$, $r = -2$, and $s = \frac{\gamma_2(t-2)}{2}$, we get equality in (34), and hence the local graph of any vertex of Γ is a strongly regular graph with non-principal eigenvalues -2 and $\frac{\gamma_2(t-2)}{2}$, with parameters (37), which shows the lemma. ∎

We recall Seidel's classification of strongly regular graphs with smallest eigenvalue -2, see [17].

Theorem 5.12 *A strongly regular graph with smallest eigenvalue -2 is one of the following:*

(1) the complete multipartite graph $K_{m\times 2}$ with m parts, each of size 2, with parameters $(2m, 2m-2, 2m-4, 2m-2)$, $m \geq 2$,

(2) the $m \times m$-grid with parameters $(m^2, 2(m-1), m-2, 2)$, $m \geq 3$,

(3) the Shrikhande graph with parameters of $(16, 6, 2, 2)$,

(4) the triangular graph $T(m)$ with parameters $(\binom{m}{2}, 2(m-2), m-2, 4)$, $m \geq 5$,

(5) the three Chang graphs with parameters of $(28, 12, 6, 4)$,

(6) the Petersen graph with parameters $(10, 3, 0, 1)$,

(7) the Clebsch graph with parameters $(16, 10, 6, 6)$,

(8) the Schläfli graph with parameters $(27, 16, 10, 8)$.

Finally, we examine which of graphs from Theorem 5.12 may appear as the local graphs of Γ if $t \in \{2D, 2D+1\}$, and thereby we determine Γ.

Lemma 5.13 *If* $\{x, y, D\} = \{\frac{t-1}{2}, \frac{t}{2}, t-1+\frac{2}{\gamma_2}\}$ *and* $t \in \{2D, 2D+1\}$ *then* Γ *is the folded Johnson graph* $\widetilde{J}(2t, t)$ *(and* $\gamma_2 = 2$*) or the folded halved cube* $\frac{1}{2}\widetilde{H}(2t, 2)$ *(and* $\gamma_2 = 4$*).*

Proof: If $t \in \{2D, 2D+1\}$ then, by Lemma 5.11, for every vertex $x \in \Gamma$, $\Gamma(x)$ is a strongly regular graph with smallest eigenvalue -2 and parameters defined by (37).

If $\Gamma(x)$ is the complete multipartite graph with parameters $(2m, 2m-2, 2m-4, 2m-2)$ then $k = \mu$, i.e., $(t-1)\gamma_2 = \gamma_2$. As clearly $m > 2$ and hence $\gamma_2 > 0$ holds, we have $t = 2$, a contradiction.

If $\Gamma(x)$ is the $m \times m$-grid (or the Shrikhande graph with parameters of 4×4-grid) with parameters $(m^2, 2(m-1), m-2, 2)$ then $\gamma_2 = 2$, $t = m$, and $c_2 = 4$. Since $D \geq 3$, we have $t = m \geq 6$, and hence $\Gamma(x)$ is the $m \times m$-grid. It is easily seen that the subgraph induced by $\Gamma(u) \cap \Gamma(w)$ for a pair of vertices $u, w \in V(\Gamma)$ with $d(u, w) = 2$ is a 4-cycle. Therefore, by [3, Theorem 1], Γ is the antipodal quotient of the Johnson graph $J(2m, m)$. We note that by [8], there exist exactly two locally Shrikhande graphs, however, both are not distance-regular.

If $\Gamma(x)$ is the triangular graph $T(m)$ (or one of the three Chang graphs with parameters of $T(8)$) with parameters $(\binom{m}{2}, 2(m-2), m-2, 4)$ then $\gamma_2 = 4$ and $2t = m$. Since $D \geq 3$, we have $m \geq 12$, and $\Gamma(x)$ is the triangular graph $T(m)$. Further, Γ has the same intersection array as the antipodal quotient of the halved cube $\frac{1}{2}H(2t, 2)$. By Step 11 in the proof of [5, Theorem 3.3], Γ is the antipodal quotient of the halved cube $\frac{1}{2}H(2t, 2)$.

If $\Gamma(x)$ is the Petersen graph with parameters $(10, 3, 0, 1)$ then $\gamma_2 = 1$ and $t = 4$. This yields that $D = 2$, a contradiction. If $\Gamma(x)$ is the Clebsch graph with parameters $(16, 10, 6, 6)$ then $\gamma_2 = 6$ and $3t - 2 = 6$, $t = 8/3$, a contradiction. Finally, if $\Gamma(x)$ is the Schläfli graph with parameters $(27, 16, 10, 8)$ then $\gamma_2 = 8$ and $4t - 2 = 10$, $t = 3$, a contradiction. The lemma is proved. ∎

Theorem 5.5 now follows from Lemma 5.9 and Lemma 5.13. Theorem 5.4 follows from Theorem 5.5 and Remark 5.1.

Acknowledgment

Part of this work was done while the first author was visiting Tohoku University as a JSPS Post-doctoral Fellow. ALG is also supported by the Grant of the President of Russian Federation for young scientists (pr. MK-1719.2013.1) and the RFBR grant (pr. 12-01-31098). JHK thanks for the support of the '100 talents program' of the Chinese Academy of Sciences. He also would like to thank Paul Terwilliger for reminding him in June 2011 at the Bled conference of the existence of the Terwilliger polynomial.

References

[1] E. Bannai, T. Ito, Algebraic Combinatorics I: Association Schemes // Benjamin/Cummings, London (1984).

[2] S. Bang, T. Fujisaki, J.H. Koolen, The spectra of the local graphs of the twisted Grassmann graphs // European J. Combin. 30 (2009), no. 3, 638–654.

[3] A. Blokhuis, A.E. Brouwer, Locally 4-by-4 grid graphs // J. Graph Theory, 13 (1989), 229–244.

[4] A.E. Brouwer, A.M. Cohen, A. Neumaier, Distance-regular graphs // Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3), 18. Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1989).

[5] F.C. Bussemaker, A. Neumaier, Exceptional graphs with smallest eigenvalue 2 and related problems // Math. Comp. 59 (1992), no. 200, 583–608.

[6] E. van Dam, J.H. Koolen, H. Tanaka, Distance-regular graphs // preprint, August 2013 version.

[7] S. Hobart, T. Ito, The structure of nonthin irreducible T-modules of endpoint 1: ladder bases and classical parameters // J. Algebraic Combin. 7 (1998), no. 1, 53–75.

[8] A.A. Makhnev, D.V. Paduchikh, Locally Shrikhande graphs and their automorphisms // Siberian Math. J. 39 (1998), no. 5, 936–946.

[9] K. Metsch, On the characterization of the folded Johnson graphs and the folded halved cubes by their intersection arrays // European J. Combin. 18 (1997), no. 1, 65–74.

[10] K.Metsch, Characterization of the folded Johnson graphs of small diameter by their intersection arrays // European J. Combin. 18 (1997), no. 8, 901–913.

[11] K. Metsch, On the characterization of the folded halved cubes by their intersection arrays // Des. Codes Cryptogr. 29 (2003), no. 1-3, 215–225.

[12] A. Neumaier, Characterization of a class of distance regular graphs // J. Reine Angew. Math. 357 (1985), 182–192.

[13] P. Terwilliger, Lecture note on Terwilliger algebra (edited by H. Suzuki) (1993).

[14] P. Terwilliger, A class of distance-regular graphs that are Q-polynomial // J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 40 (1986), no. 2, 213–223.

[15] P. Terwilliger, The subconstituent algebra of an association scheme, I // J. Algebraic Combin. 1 (1992), no. 4, 363–388.

[16] P. Terwilliger, Kite-free Distance-regular Graphs // Europ. J. Combinatorics (1995), 16, 405–414.

[17] J.J. Seidel, Strongly regular graphs with $(-1, 1, 0)$ adjacency matrix having eigenvalue 3 // Lin. Alg. Appl. 1 (1968), 281–298.